Trace Inequivalence for MDPs is in P

Qiyi Tang Joint work with Stefan Kiefer

SAMSA, Warsaw

Labelled Markov chain (LMC)



Labelled Markov chain (LMC)



Labelled Markov chain (LMC)









Trace (in)equivalence for LMCs

Two initial distributions μ_1 and μ_2 are trace equivalent **iff** the probabilities of generating all labelled sequences $w \in L^*$ are the same.

 $V = \langle M(w)\vec{\mathbf{1}} : w \in L^* \rangle$

Trace equivalence: $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$ iff $\mu_1 \vec{v} = \mu_2 \vec{v}$ for all $\vec{v} \in V$ Trace inequivalence: $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$ iff $\mu_1 \vec{v} \neq \mu_2 \vec{v}$ for some $\vec{v} \in V$

Known to have polynomial algorithms [Schützenberger, 1961]



Given two initial distributions μ_1 and μ_2 , is there a **strategy** α such that μ_1 and μ_2 are **not** trace equivalent, i.e., $\mu_1 \not\equiv \mu_2$, in the induced LMC.

- Memoryless deterministic (MD) strategies
- Memoryless strategies
- General strategies

 $V = \langle M_{\alpha}(w)\vec{1}: \alpha \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$ $\mu_1 \not\equiv \mu_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_1 \vec{v} \neq \mu_2 \vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V$

 $V_1 = \langle M_{\alpha_1}(w_1)M_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \dots M_{\alpha_m}(w_m) \vec{\mathbf{1}} : \alpha_i \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w = w_1w_2 \dots w_m \in L^* \rangle$

 $V_2 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w)\vec{1}: \alpha \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$ $\mu_1 \not\equiv \mu_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_1 \vec{v} \neq \mu_2 \vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V_2$

 $V_3 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w) \vec{\mathbf{1}} : \alpha \text{ is an MD strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$

$$V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3$$

 $V_1 = \langle M_{\alpha_1}(w_1)M_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \dots M_{\alpha_m}(w_m)\vec{\mathbf{1}}: \alpha_i \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w = w_1w_2 \dots w_m \in L^* \rangle$

 $V_2 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w)\vec{1}: \alpha \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$ $\mu_1 \not\equiv \mu_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_1 \vec{v} \neq \mu_2 \vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V_2$

 $V_3 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w) \vec{1} : \alpha \text{ is an MD strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$

A polynomial algorithm to compute a basis of V_1 $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3 \supseteq V_1$

 $V_1 = \langle M_{\alpha_1}(w_1)M_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \dots M_{\alpha_m}(w_m)\vec{\mathbf{1}}: \alpha_i \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w = w_1w_2 \dots w_m \in L^* \rangle$

 $V_{2} = \langle M_{\alpha}(w)\vec{1}: \alpha \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w \in L^{*} \rangle$ $\mu_{1} \not\equiv \mu_{2} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_{1}\vec{v} \neq \mu_{2}\vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V_{2}$

 $V_3 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w) \vec{1} : \alpha \text{ is an MD strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$

A polynomial algorithm to compute a basis of V_1

 $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3 \supseteq V_1$ $V_1 = V_2 = V_3$

Proof sketch

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha_0 \ - \text{ any MD strategy} \\ \mathbf{A} = \{\alpha_0\} \cup \{\alpha_0^{s \to m} : s \ is \ a \ state, m \ is \ an \ action \ of \ s\} \\ B_0 = \{(\alpha_0, \varepsilon)\} - \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{set} \ \mathsf{of vectors}, \ \mathsf{e.g.} \ \ \mathbf{\overline{1}} = \ M_{\alpha_0}(\varepsilon)\mathbf{\overline{1}} \end{array}$

Prove by induction B_j is a basis for $V_1^j = \langle M_{\alpha_1}(w_1)M_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \dots M_{\alpha_k}(w_k)\vec{\mathbf{1}} \in V_1: |w| \leq j > 0$

In the (j+1)th iteration, if $\alpha \in A$, $a \in L$, $(\beta, w) \in B_j$ such that $M_{\alpha}(a)M_{\beta}(w)\mathbf{\vec{1}} \notin B_{j+1} > add (\alpha', aw)$ to B_{j+1} , where

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} \alpha(s): & if \ \overline{c_s} \notin V_1^j \\ \beta(s): & otherwise \end{cases}$$

 α' is an MD strategy

 $V_1 = \langle M_{\alpha_1}(w_1)M_{\alpha_2}(w_2) \dots M_{\alpha_m}(w_m)\vec{\mathbf{1}}: \alpha_i \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w = w_1w_2 \dots w_m \in L^* \rangle$

 $V_2 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w)\vec{\mathbf{1}}: \alpha \text{ is a memoryless strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$ $\mu_1 \not\equiv \mu_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_1 \vec{v} \neq \mu_2 \vec{v} \text{ for some } \vec{v} \in V_2$

 $V_3 = \langle M_{\alpha}(w) \vec{\mathbf{1}} : \alpha \text{ is an MD strategy, } w \in L^* \rangle$

A polynomial algorithm to compute $B_n = \{(\alpha_0, \varepsilon), (\alpha_1, w_1), (\alpha_2, w_2), \dots\} \text{ a basis of } V_1 \text{ where each } \alpha_i \text{ is MD}$ $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3 \supseteq V_1$ $V_1 = V_2 = V_3$

Trace inequivalence is easy!

Trace inequivalence problem for MDPs is in P (both memoryless and general strategies) [Kiefer and T., 2019]

Trace inequivalence is easy!

Trace inequivalence problem for MDPs is in P (both memoryless and general strategies) [Kiefer and T., 2019]

Trace equivalence problem (memoryless strategies) for MDPs is $\exists \mathbb{R}$ complete [Kiefer and T., 2019]

Trace equivalence problem (general strategies) for MDPs is undecidable [Fijalkow, Kiefer and Shirmohammadi, 2020]

Probabilistic bisimilarity inequivalence is easy!

Probabilistic bisimilarity inequivalence problem for MDPs is in P (both memoryless and general strategies) [Kiefer and T., 2019, 2022]

Probabilistic bisimilarity problem (memoryless strategies) for MDPs is NP-complete [Kiefer and T., 2019]

Probabilistic bisimilarity problem (general strategies) for MDPs is EXPTIME-complete [Kiefer and T., 2022]

Behavioural (in)equivalence problems

More notions:

 ε -bisimilarity, robust bisimilarity, ...

More models: MDP-ST, POMDP, ...

Behavioural (in)equivalence problems

More notions:

 ε -bisimilarity, robust bisimilarity, ...

More models: MDP-ST, POMDP, ...

Thank you!